

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,647	91,647 03/02/2004		Robert E. Fischell	CRD-946 DIV	3069
27777	7590	03/08/2006		EXAMINER	
PHILIP S		- :	DAVIS, DANIEL J		
JOHNSON & JOHNSON ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		NJ 08933-7003	3733	-	

DATE MAILED: 03/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ü

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
•		. 10/791,647	FISCHELL ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	,	D. Jacob Davis	3733		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES as is not soft time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 Ja</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Dispositi	on of Claims	•			
5) □ 6) ☑ 7) □ 8) □	Claim(s) 41-47 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 41-47 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	vn from consideration.			
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 March 2004</u> is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		,		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachmen		A) []	(PTO 412)		
2) Notice 3) Information	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di . 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/791,647

Art Unit: 3733

DETAILED ACTION

The request filed January 23, 2006 for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 based on parent Application No. 10/791,647 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action the RCE follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 41 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lorentzen Cornelius et al. (US 6,068,634) in view of Christian et al. (US 5,174,295). Lorentzen discloses a primary stenting system (Fig. 9) comprising a flexible guidewire (Col. 5, line 60), a balloon catheter having an inflatable balloon 114, a polyurethane distal tip 117 (Col. 4, lines 41-45), a lumen 118, a stent 120, and proximal and distal elastomeric bands 122 and 124. The reference is silent with respect to the flexibility of the tip. Christian teaches that the tip should be flexible to "not cause trauma in the vessel in which it is advanced" (column 17, lines 15-17). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the tip flexible to minimize the risk of trauma to the vessel wall. Lorentzen discloses that the

Art Unit: 3733

tip comprises a gentle taper from a larger to a smaller diameter, and "the gently tapered distal tip and distal elastomer band together form[ing] a smooth outer surface to facilitate penetration of a tight stenosis. As clearly illustrated in figure 10, the bands do not cover the stent.

Claims 41-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lorentzen in view of Fischell et al. (US 5,792,144). Lorentzen discloses a tapered tip 117 but is silent with respect to the flexibility or the exact length or taper of the tip. Fischell discloses that the tip may flexible, supposedly to prevent trauma to the vessel wall. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the Lorentzen tip flexible to prevent trauma to the vessel wall.

Furthermore, Fischell discloses a flexible tapered tip having a tapered slope of less than 4 degrees (Col. 6, line 23) and a length that is greater than 10 mm to penetrate a stenosis (Col. 5, line 21). Although the patent does not disclose the length of the tip being greater than 20 mm, such a length would also be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to effectively penetrate a stenosis. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make Lorentzen's tip 117 greater than 10 mm as taught by Fischell to effectively penetrate a stenosis, and even greater than 20 mm which also would effectively penetrate a stenosis. Moreover, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to

Application/Control Number: 10/791,647

Art Unit: 3733

adjust Lorentzen's tapered tip to have a slope of less than 4 degrees as taught by Fischell to also penetrate a stenosis.

Claim 46 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lorentzen in view of Wang et al. (US 6,221,097). Lorentzen discloses elastomer bands but is silent regarding a lubricous coat. Nevertheless, Wang discloses elastomer sleeves that are coated with a lubricant (Col. 2, lines 2-20) to facilitate the release of the stent. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to lubricate Lorentzen's bands 122 and 124 as taught by Wang to facilitate the release of the stent.

Claim 47 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lorentzen in view of Drewes, Jr. et al. (US 5,300,048). Lorentzen discloses bands 122 and 124 made of an elastomer, but fails to disclose a high density material included in the elastomer bands to increase radiopacity. Nevertheless, Drewes discloses that high density materials such as tungsten, may be added to an elastomeric material to increase radiopacity (Col. 2, lines 15-21). The increased radiopacity of the bands helps monitor catheter location. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a high density material to Lorentzen's bands 122 and 124 as taught by Drewes to increase radiopacity, in turn helping to monitor catheter location.

Art Unit: 3733

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed January 23, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The bands 122 and 124 as illustrated in figure 10 do not all cover the stent.

Conclusion

All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS**MADE FINAL even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,647

Art Unit: 3733

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. Jacob Davis whose telephone number is (571) 272-4693. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo C. Robert can be reached on (571) 272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DJD

✓EDUARD**Ó Ć./ROBERT** SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER